P.R. No. 1321/3 presented by Shri A.N. Bhakta on 10/3/06 dated

(1) (3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR (CG)

WRIT PETITION NO. 1332 **/2006** Single Bench

PETITIONER

Smt. Sheetlawati, aged about 28 widow of Late Lalchand occupation – *"Sah-Sahayeeka"* Aangan Badi, Nutrition and Food Distribution Centre, Harijan Para Banaur, Gram Panchayat Chitbishrampur, Block Balrampur, Tah. Paal, District Surguja (C.G.)

- R/C Ghoswami 10/3/06

for THAKUR VIJAY SINGH
Asst. Solicitor General of India
CHHATTISGARH HIGH COURT
High Court Campus, Bilaspur (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh, through – Secretary, Department of Women and Child Development, Dau Kalyan Singh Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)
2. Union of India, through Ministry of Women and Child Welfare, New Dehli.
3. The District Collector, Raigarh (C.G.)
4. District Women and Child Welfare officer, Distt. Surguja (CG)
5. Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat – Balrampur, Distt. Surguja (C.G)

RECEIVED-COPY 10.3.06 CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA,

64

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P 1332 सन् 2006

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

19.04.2006

Shri Prafull Bharat, Advocate appears on behalf of Shri A.N. Bhakta, counsel for the petitioners in W.P.Nos. 1329/06, 1330/06, 1331/06 1332/06, 1005/06 and 1006/06.

Shri Prafull Bharat, counsel for the petitioner in W.P.No. 1425/06.

Shri S.K. Beriwal, counsel for Union of India.

Shri U.N.S. Deo, G.A. with A.S.Kachhawaha, P.L. for the State and its authorities.

We have heard learned counsel for the parties.

By these petitions the main grievance raised by the petitioners who are presently working as Sahsahika in the rural areas of District – Sarguja for the purposes of distribution of supplementary nutrition, is that vide notification dated 19.01.2006 issued by the State Government preferential treatment has been provided for the appointment on the post of Aanganwadi workers to the persons who are working in the urban areas on the post of Sahsahika whereas the petitioners who are working in the rural areas have not been given similar treatment.

Notices of these petitions were issued to the respondents and in response to the said notices reply has been filed on behalf of the State in which it has been mentioned that vide order dated 10.04.2006, the order dated 19.01.2006 has been superseded vide notification dated 10.04.2006 by which it has been made clear that now in the whole State Sahsahikas who are working in the rural as well as in the urban areas shall be given preferential treatment for the appointment of Aanganwadi Karyakarta if they are otherwise eligible for the said post.

[पीछे देखिये

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

65

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	Learned counsel for the parties submit that in view of this order of supersession grievance of the petitioners stands vindicated. Now, in view of above, nothing remains to be decided in these writ petitions as grievance of the petitioners has already been attended to and as such the petitions are disposed of having become infructuous and the stay granted on 30.03.2006 stands vacated. Sd/- L.C. Bhadoo Judge	Sd/- Dhirendra Mishra Judge

[पीछे देखिये